	State	Dialysis adequacy		% of patients with low hemoglobin
AK			<mark>%</mark>	2%
AL		95	%	3%
AR		969	%	4%
AS		989	%	4%
ΑZ		979	<b>%</b>	2%
CA		94	%	2%
CO		989	<b>%</b>	2%
CT			<b>%</b>	2%
DC			%	3%
DE			<b>%</b>	3%
FL			%	2%
GA			%	2%
GU			%	4%
HI			<mark>%</mark>	1%
IA			%	2%
ID			<b>%</b>	2%
IL			%	2%
IN			%	2%
KS			%	3%
KY			%	2%
LA		95	%	2%


Page 1 of 9 05/27/2010

% of patients with high hemoglobin	# of patients with better than expected survival
26%	0
28%	3
20%	1
55%	0
20%	6
29%	50
29%	14
29%	11
30%	3
23%	1
31%	16
31%	5
22%	0
8%	1
30%	11
29%	0
27%	27
26%	13
25%	2
25%	6
23%	3

Page 2 of 9 05/27/2010

J J I		_
# of patients with as expected survival	# of patients with worse than expected survival	
3	1	
87	20	
45	13	
0	1	
83	6	
349	39	
31	2	
23	0	
12	1	
13	2	
219	56	
210	17	
1	3	
19	0	
45	1	
17	0	
148	15	
85	5	
41	0	
66	4	
96	36	

Page 3 of 9 05/27/2010




Page 4 of 9 05/27/2010

,		0
	19%	11
	26%	7
	13%	7
	29%	21
	20%	22
	25%	4
	15%	0
	29%	4
	15%	5
	27%	8
	23%	3
	33%	4
	22%	0
	29%	19
	27%	4
	34%	0
	22%	53
	25%	30
	35%	3
	23%	2
	26%	19
	27%	0

Page 5 of 9 05/27/2010

56	2
79	23
10	0
117	13
56	0
102	6
1	0
52	12
7	0
126	17
8	3
28	1
10	0
82	10
24	2
19	7
122	32
162	18
43	17
40	1
195	12
7	25

Page 6 of 9 05/27/2010



Page 7 of 9 05/27/2010

35%	2
30%	3
32%	3
26%	7
25%	27
28%	2
29%	5
19%	0
3%	4
24%	17
18%	14
23%	1
42%	1
	30% 32% 26% 25% 28% 29% 19% 3% 24% 18% 23%

Page 8 of 9 05/27/2010

11	0
77	18
17	0
107	9
338	35
22	0
107	17
2	1
2	1
40	0
86	4
18	7
8	0

Page 9 of 9 05/27/2010